This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/022,755	12/13/2001	Erik Lipson	LPN-10203/03	5227	
7:	590 06/03/2003				
Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle,			EXAMINER		
Anderson & Citkowski, P.C. 280 N. Old Woodward Ave., Ste. 400			CASTELLANO	CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
Birmingham, M	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727	1)/	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	18	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner					
Stephen J. Castellano The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) Responsive to communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) Responsive to communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andreozzi.

A full explanation is contained in the first action, paper No. 9.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.



Andreozzi discloses a combination drinking container and straw comprising a container, a straw retaining member (clip 40) formed in a wall of the container and a straw (flexible straw 34 and tube 20), a first downwardly extending end of the straw is in fluid communication with a chamber of the container and a second upwardly extending end (from 38 to 36).

For claims 5, 10, 11, the container is closed by a lid and the straw extends through an aperture in the lid to form a hinge.

For Claims 1, 6 and 11, the second end of the straw is defined as that portion extending from inner end (36) to outer end (38) as shown in Fig. 1, while the straw is disposed in the at least one retaining member as shown in Fig. 2, the part which is close or at the inner end (36) extends upward from the container, nothing prevents the straw from being sipped through in the Fig. 2 configuration.

Application/Control Number: 10/022,755 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Andreozzi discloses the invention except for the groove since it is not known whether the clip 40 is elongated enough to form a groove. It is well known to make clips with elongated grooves such as a standard binder clip. It would have been obvious to add elongation to a C-ring clip motivated by increased surface area contact to prevent the straw from inadvertently becoming detached and the increased structural support accorded a longer clip with respect to a C-ring clip.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andreozzi in view of Rupert and Pugh, Sr. (Pugh).

Don't get this rejection confused with the previous rejection. Andreozzi disclosure is the same as the previous rejection. Andreozzi discloses the invention except for the groove since it is not known whether the clip 40 is elongated enough to form a groove. Rupert teaches a groove formed in the side wall of a beverage container. Pugh teaches a groove formed in the side wall of a container. It would have been obvious to replace the clip 40 with a groove motivated by increased surface area contact to prevent the straw from inadvertently becoming detached and the increased structural support accorded a longer clip with respect to a C-ring clip.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andreozzi or Andreozzi in view of Rupert and Pugh in view of Lynd et al. (Lynd).

Note that claim 12 is only rejected as being unpatentable over Andreozzi in view of Lynd.

This rejection is made in the event that the straw can't include portions 34 and 20 and in the event that the lid can't be slid upwardly pass inner end 36 of straw 34.

Art Unit: 3727

Page 4

Andreozzi discloses the invention except for the first end of the straw extending into the container to terminate proximate a closed bottom of the container and a straw which has a loop which extends through an aperture in the lid to form a hinge for the lid. Lynd teaches a straw which is inserted through an aperture in a central portion of the lid so that a first end of the straw terminates proximate a closed bottom of a container. It would have been obvious to replace tube 20 of the container of Andreozzi with a lengthened portion of flexible straw 34 which is inserted through the aperture in the lid that is moved towards the central portion of the lid by modifying the size of the straw or the size of the aperture such that the aperture will frictionally engage the straw as taught by Lynd in order to improve the assembly of the lid and straw by making the assembly of two pieces instead of three pieces requiring less parts that are required to mate, in order to move the straw connection away from the rim of the container so that the rim doesn't interfere with the straw connection and in order to provide a friction fit between the straw and aperture in the lid to maintain removability and establish a fit which is substantially splash and spill proof.

Claims 1, 2, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynd.

Lynd discloses a combination drink container and straw comprising a container having a cap (14) forming a top wall enclosing a chamber and a straw retaining member (sleeve 32) formed in the top wall and a straw disposed in the straw retaining member. Lynd discloses the invention except for the groove since sleeve 32 can't be considered a groove. It is known to form a groove out of a sleeve by removing a portion of the wall of the sleeve. It would have been obvious to remove a portion of the sleeve and form a groove motivated by a savings in

Art Unit: 3727

material and cost and motivated by more flexibility and greater movement of the straw while the straw is still retained within the remaining structure of the sleeve 32.

Claims 3, 4, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynd in view of Cohen, deceased et al. (Cohen).

Lynd discloses the invention except for the loop which forms a handle. Cohen teaches the combination of a drinking container and a straw which forms a loop and the loop forms a handle. It would have been obvious to modify the straw to have a loop and the loop which functions as a handle in order to provide a straw which can be retained in a rigidly fixed position with respect to the container so that the container can be moved by handling of the straw alone and so that the straw doesn't become dislodged from its fixed position.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3727

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 703-308-1035.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular

communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

sjc

May 29, 2003

Page 6